Tucson Unified School District

Pima County

Efficiency peer groups 1 and T-5, Achievement peer group 3


Legislative district(s): 2, 3, 4, 9, and 10

District size, location: Very large, City Students attending: 45,970 Number of schools: 86

OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY

Spending by operational area





Efficiency measures relative to peer averages

Operational					Peer	State	
area	Measure		District		average	average	
	Cost per pupil		\$8	97	\$641	\$780	
Administration	Students per administrative p	59		81	67		
Plant Cost per square for		r square foot \$6.44		44	\$5.93	\$6.09	
operations	Square footage student			74	144	153	
Food service	Cost per meal equivalent		\$3.	.03	\$2.75	\$2.79	
Transportation	Cost per mile		\$4.62		\$3.48	\$3.66	
Transportation	Cost per rider		\$1,9	1,946 \$1,392		\$1,071	
Very low	Low	Compar	able		Hiah	Verv high	

Per pupil spending


rer pupir speriding						Peer	;	State
	District			average		average		
Spending by area		2014		2015		2015	:	2015
Instruction	\$	3,934	\$	4,005	\$	4,107	\$	4,105
Administration		855		897		641		780
Plant operations		1,063		1,124		853		930
Food service		397		417		333		417
Transportation		482		482		343		371
Student support		806		791		618		613
Instruction support		543		512		367		442
Total operational	\$	8,080	\$	8,228	\$	7,262	\$	7,658
							١.	
Land and buildings	\$	326	\$	588	\$	551	\$	641
Equipment		417		413		316		383
Interest		250		254		266		225
Other		70		117	_	184	_	150
Total nonoperational	\$	1,063	\$	1,372	\$	1,317	\$	1,399
Total per pupil spending	\$	9,143	\$	9,600	\$	8,579	\$	9,057

STUDENT AND TEACHER MEASURES, FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT, AND REVENUE

Student and teacher measures

		Peer	State
Measure	District	average	average
Attendance rate	92%	94%	94%
Graduation rate (2014)	80%	81%	76%
Poverty rate (2014)	28%	22%	23%
Students per teacher	18.7	18.8	18.6
Average teacher salary	\$44,926	\$44,582	\$46,008
Average years of teacher experience	12.4	11.7	11.0
Percentage of teachers in first 3 years	16%	18%	20%

Students who met state standards



Financial stress assessment

Overall financial stress level: Moderate

Measure: 2013 through	Assessment		
Change in number of dis	trict students	Concentrated decrease	
Spending exceeded ope	rating/capital budgets	No overspending	
Spending increase electi	No election held		
Operating reserve percer	3.0%, Increasing		
Years of capital reserve held		1 to 3 years	
Current financial and internal control status		Compliant	
Low	Moderate	High	

Per pupil revenues

Voter-approved levy increases

er pupir reveriues						
		Peer	State			
Di	strict	average	average			
2014	2015	2015	2015			
\$ 1,703	\$ 1,241	\$ 839	\$ 1,299			
3,280	3,607	3,183	3,517			
4,793	4,621	4,941	4,248			
\$ 9,776	\$ 9,469	\$ 8,963	\$ 9,064			
Select revenues from common sources						
\$ 5,615	\$ 5,286	\$ 5,221	\$ 5,303			
1,703	1,213	854	1,167			
75	74	83	78			
Number of peers						
Select revenues from less common sources receiving						
\$ 1,361	\$ 1,301	4 of 10				
0	0	0 of 10				
14	16	2 0	f 10			
	2014 \$ 1,703 3,280 4,793 \$ 9,776 on source \$ 5,615 1,703 75 ommon so \$ 1,361 0	\$ 1,703 \$ 1,241 3,280 3,607 4,793 4,621 \$ 9,776 \$ 9,469 on sources \$ 5,615 \$ 5,286 1,703 1,213 75 74 ommon sources \$ 1,361 \$ 1,301 0 0	District 2014 average 2015 \$ 1,703 \$ 1,241 \$ 839 3,280 3,607 3,183 4,793 4,621 4,941 \$ 9,776 \$ 9,469 \$ 8,963 con sources \$ 5,615 \$ 5,286 \$ 5,221 1,703 1,213 854 75 74 83 Number rece \$ 1,361 \$ 1,301 4 o 0 0 0			

903

479

10 of 10

Tucson USD—page 2

Classroom dollar percentage


2002 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 Year: 2001 2003 2004 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 Percentage: 53.9 54.7 54.9 55.0 55.4 54.9 54.3 53.4 53.5 53.9 50.4 50.6 49.2 48.7 48.7

OPERATIONAL TRENDSFiscal years as indicated


5-year spending trend (2010 through 2015)

Total operational spending per pupil, adjusted for inflation, decreased by 8 percent. The percentage of dollars spent in the classroom varied year to year, decreasing overall from 53.9 to 48.7 percent. As a percentage of total operational spending, food service increased substantially, administration increased, and plant operations increased slightly. Other nonclassroom areas remained stable.

Administrative cost per student



Total operational and instructional spending per student (inflation adjusted to 2015 dollars)




Plant cost per square foot and square footage per student




Changes in operational spending percentages



Food service cost per meal



Students attending



Transportation costs per mile and per rider

