Tanque Verde Unified School District

Pima County

Efficiency peer groups 3 and T-4, Achievement peer group 1

Legislative district(s): 10 and 14

District size, location: Students attending: Number of schools: Medium, Suburb 1,996


4

lumber of schools:

OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY

Spending by operational area

Nonclassroom dollars



Efficiency measures relative to peer averages

Operational				Peer	State	
area	Measure	Distr	ict	average	e average	
	Cost per pupil	\$9	979	\$78	0 \$780	
Administration	Students per administrative	position		67	6	8 67
Plant	Cost per squa	\$5.62		\$5.8	7 \$6.09	
operations	Square footag student	140		16	0 153	
Food service	Cost per meal equivalent	\$2.84		\$2.9	2 \$2.79	
Transportation	Cost per mile		\$2.77		\$3.6	1 \$3.66
Transportation	Cost per rider		\$994		\$1,12	4 \$1,071
Very low	Low	Compar	able		Hiah	Verv high

Peer

State

Per pupil spending

							Otato		
	District			average		average			
Spending by area		2014		2015		2015		2015	
Instruction	\$	4,378	\$	4,329	\$	3,812	\$	4,105	
Administration		976		979		780		780	
Plant operations		736		789		928		930	
Food service		255		237		322		417	
Transportation		303		297		412		371	
Student support		431		492		553		613	
Instruction support	117107		304		442				
Total operational	\$	7,196	\$	7,230	\$	7,111	\$	7,658	
Land and buildings	\$	38	\$	34	\$	676	\$	641	
Equipment		24		38		499		383	
Interest		356		331		225		225	
Other		176		166		145		150	
Total nonoperational	\$	594	\$	569	\$	1,545	\$	1,399	
Total per pupil spending	\$	7,790	\$	7,799	\$	8,656	\$	9,057	
Total per pupil spending	\$	7,790	\$	7,799	\$	8,656	\$	ξ	


Student and teacher measures

		Peer	State
Measure	District	average	average
Attendance rate	94%	95%	94%
Graduation rate (2014)	97%	91%	76%
Poverty rate (2014)	8%	8%	23%
Students per teacher	15.7	17.8	18.6
Average teacher salary	\$37,667	\$41,684	\$46,008
Average years of teacher experience	14.3	11.5	11.0
Percentage of teachers in first 3 years	9%	16%	20%

STUDENT AND TEACHER MEASURES,

FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT, AND REVENUE

Students who met state standards



Financial stress assessment

Overall financial stress level: Low

Measure: 2013 through 2	Assessment			
Change in number of distr	Increase			
Spending exceeded opera	No overspending			
Spending increase election	Voter-approved			
Operating reserve percenta	3.0%, Varying			
Years of capital reserve he	Less than 1 year			
Current financial and interr	Compliant			
Low	Moderate	High		

Per pupil revenues

er pupir revenues						Peer	:	State	
	District		average		average				
Revenues by source		2014		2015		2015		2015	
Federal	\$	238	\$	207	\$	771	\$	1,299	
State		3,328		3,319		2,873		3,517	
Local		4,362		4,353		4,889		4,248	
Total revenues per pupil	\$	7,928	\$	7,879	\$	8,533	\$	9,064	
Select revenues from common sources									
Equalization formula funding	\$	5,906	\$	5,627	\$	5,412	\$	5,303	
Grants		243		163		798		1,167	
Donations and tax credits		212		208		127		78	
Number of peers									
Select revenues from less common sources receiving									
Desegregation		0	\$	0	0 1 of			15	
Small school adjustment		0 0				0 of 15			

 Desegregation
 \$ 0 \$ 0
 1 of 15

 Small school adjustment
 0 0 0 f 15

 Federal impact aid
 0 0 3 of 15

 Voter-approved levy increases
 1,069 972 14 of 15

Tanque Verde USD—page 2

Classroom dollar percentage


2002 2003 2009 Year: 2001 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 Percentage: 60.5 60.7 58.9 60.9 57.6 58.8 56.7 59.3 60.8 55.0 57.5 57.9 59.1 60.8 59.9

OPERATIONAL TRENDSFiscal years as indicated

5-year spending trend (2010 through 2015)

Total operational spending per pupil, adjusted for inflation, decreased by 12 percent. The percentage of dollars spent in the classroom increased overall from 55 to 59.9 percent. Overall, as a percentage of total operational spending, administration and instruction support decreased and transportation and student support decreased slightly. Plant operations varied year to year, while food service remained stable.


Total operational and instructional spending per student (inflation adjusted to 2015 dollars)




Changes in operational spending percentages




Students attending




Administrative cost per student



Plant cost per square foot and square footage per student



Food service cost per meal



Transportation costs per mile and per rider

