Mohawk Valley Elementary School District

Yuma County

Efficiency peer groups 11 and T-13, Achievement peer group 21 Legislative district(s): 4 and 13


District size / location: Students attending: Number of schools: Very small, Rural 139

100

OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY

Spending by operational area





5-year spending trend

Total spending per pupil decreased by 9 percent. Spending in the classroom varied year to year, decreasing overall from 45.6 to 42.3 percent. Spending on most nonclassroom areas also varied year to year, as is common for very small districts. Overall, spending on administration decreased and spending on plant operations and transportation increased.

Cost measures relative to peer averages

Operational	•		Peer	State
area	Measure	District	average	average
	Cost per pupil	\$2,082	\$2,472	\$736
Administration	Students per administrator	69	30	66
Plant	Cost per square foot	\$5.93	\$6.93	\$6.09
operations	Square footage per student	345	320	152
Food service	Cost per meal equivalent	\$3.01	\$4.88	\$2.47
Transportation	Cost per mile	\$2.54	\$1.53	\$3.50
Transportation Cost per rider		\$994	\$1,171	\$982

Per-pupil spending by operational area

			Peer	State	inational
	Dist	rict	average	average	average
	2011	2012	2012	2012	2010
Total	\$9,745	\$10,261	\$15,082	\$7,475	\$10,652
Classroom dollars	4,397	4,345	7,880	4,053	6,526
Nonclassroom dollars:	5,348	5,916	7,202	3,422	4,126
Administration	2,082	2,082	2,472	736	1,139
Plant operations	1,726	2,048	2,126	928	1,012
Food service	581	600	756	382	405
Transportation	589	733	970	362	443
Student support	60	164	541	578	592
Instruction support	310	289	337	436	535

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT, TEACHER MEASURES, AND FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT


ADE-reported district and school letter grades





Grade	Number of schools	Percentage of schools	J	
Α	0	0%		
В	0	0%		
С	1			
D	0	0%		
F	0	0%		
Not rated	0	0%		

Percentage of students who met state standards (AIMS)



Student and teacher measures

	Peer	State
District	average	average
95%	95%	94%
N/A	N/A	N/A
38%	39%	25%
15.4	14.4	18.1
\$40,746	\$40,820	\$45,193
\$1,970	\$2,313	\$3,195
23.5	11.7	11.0
7%	12%	16%
	95% N/A 38% 15.4 \$40,746 \$1,970 23.5	District average 95% 95% N/A N/A 38% 39% 15.4 14.4 \$40,746 \$40,820 \$1,970 \$2,313 23.5 11.7

Financial stress assessment

Overall	financial	etroce	lovol:	Moderate
Overan	IIIIanciai	50,655	ievei.	woderate

Measure: 2010 through 2012	Assessment
Number of students attending	Moderate decrease
Spending exceeded operating/capital budgets	No overspending
Spending increase election results	Voter-rejected
Operating reserve percentage (max. 4%), trend	1.7%, Decreasing
Years of capital reserve held	1 to 3 years
Current financial and internal control status	Compliant

Moderate

Office of the Auditor General

FY2012 page 129