Mobile Elementary School District


Maricopa County

Efficiency peer groups 11 and T-11, Achievement peer group 21 Legislative district(s): 4

District size, location: Students attending: Number of schools: Very small, Rural 33 1

OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY

Spending by operational area



5-year spending trend

Total spending per pupil increased by 2 percent. Spending in the classroom varied year to year, increasing overall from 31.3 to 38.1 percent. Spending on all nonclassroom areas also varied year to year, as is common for very small districts. Overall, spending on plant operations and transportation decreased substantially, while spending on instruction support increased substantially.

Cost measures relative to peer averages

Operational	•		Peer	State
area	Measure	District	average	average
	Cost per pupil	\$8,772	\$2,572	\$746
Administration	Students per administrator	14	30	67
Plant	Cost per square foot	\$4.13	\$6.59	\$6.03
operations	Square footage per student	695	343	153
Food service	Cost per meal equivalent	\$8.05	\$4.93	\$2.58
Transportation	Cost per mile	\$1.60	\$1.64	\$3.55
Transportation	Cost per rider	\$608	\$1,184	\$1,015

Per pupil spending by operational area

		Peer	State	National
District		average	average	average
2012	2013	2013	2013	2011
\$29,206	\$25,552	\$15,203	\$7,496	\$10,658
8,857	9,731	7,647	4,031	6,520
20,349	15,821	7,556	3,465	4,138
9,790	8,772	2,572	746	1,138
5,520	2,868	2,148	924	1,015
2,214	2,031	851	396	412
1,142	941	1,056	369	452
206	216	548	582	593
1,477	993	381	448	528
	2012 \$29,206 8,857 20,349 9,790 5,520 2,214 1,142 206	2012 2013 \$29,206 \$25,552 8,857 9,731 20,349 15,821 9,790 8,772 5,520 2,868 2,214 2,031 1,142 941 206 216	2012 2013 2013 \$29,206 \$25,552 \$15,203 8,857 9,731 7,647 20,349 15,821 7,556 9,790 8,772 2,572 5,520 2,868 2,148 2,214 2,031 851 1,142 941 1,056 206 216 548	2012 2013 2013 2013 \$29,206 \$25,552 \$15,203 \$7,496 8,857 9,731 7,647 4,031 20,349 15,821 7,556 3,465 9,790 8,772 2,572 746 5,520 2,868 2,148 924 2,214 2,031 851 396 1,142 941 1,056 369 206 216 548 582

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT, TEACHER MEASURES, AND FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT


ADE-reported district and school letter grades





	Number of	Percentage of	J	h	
Grade	schools	schools			
Α	0	0%			
В	1				100%
С	0	0%			
D	0	0%			
F	0	0%			
Not rated	0	0%			

Students who met state standards (AIMS)



Student and teacher measures

		Peer	State
Measure	District	average	average
Attendance rate	93%	93%	94%
Graduation rate (2012)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Poverty rate (2012)	54%	52%	25%
Students per teacher	8.2	12.4	18.3
Average teacher salary	\$44,273	\$46,086	\$45,264
Amount from Proposition 301	\$2,498	\$2,755	\$3,784
Average years of teacher experience	6.5	11.5	10.9
Percentage of teachers in first 3 years	25%	16%	19%

Financial stress assessment

Overall financial stress level:	Low
Measure: 2011 through 2013	Assessment
Number of students attending district	Small school adjustment
Spending exceeded operating/capital	budgets No overspending
Spending increase election results	No election held
Operating reserve percentage (max. 4	%), trend 0.7%, Decreasing
Years of capital reserve held	More than 3 years
Current financial and internal control st	atus Not assessed
Stress level	
Low Mode	erate High