

# Maricopa County

Single Audit Report

Year Ended June 30, 2019



A Report to the Arizona Legislature

Lindsey A. Perry  
Auditor General





The Arizona Office of the Auditor General's mission is to provide independent and impartial information and specific recommendations to improve the operations of State and local government entities. To this end, the Office provides financial audits and accounting services to the State and political subdivisions, investigates possible misuse of public monies, and conducts performance audits and special reviews of school districts, State agencies, and the programs they administer.

## The Joint Legislative Audit Committee

Representative **Anthony T. Kern**, Chair  
Representative **John Allen**  
Representative **Timothy M. Dunn**  
Representative **Mitzi Epstein**  
Representative **Jennifer Pawlik**  
Representative **Rusty Bowers** (ex officio)

Senator **Rick Gray**, Vice Chair  
Senator **Lupe Contreras**  
Senator **Andrea Dalessandro**  
Senator **David C. Farnsworth**  
Senator **David Livingston**  
Senator **Karen Fann** (ex officio)

## Audit Staff

**Donna Miller**, Director  
**David Glennon**, Manager

## Contact Information

**Arizona Office of the Auditor General**  
**2910 N. 44th St., Ste. 410**  
**Phoenix, AZ 85018-7271**

**(602) 553-0333**

**[contact@azauditor.gov](mailto:contact@azauditor.gov)**

**[www.azauditor.gov](http://www.azauditor.gov)**



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## Auditors section

**Independent auditors' report** on internal control over financial reporting and on compliance and other matters based on an audit of basic financial statements performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* 1

**Independent auditors' report** on compliance for each major federal program; report on internal control over compliance; and report on schedule of expenditures of federal awards required by the Uniform Guidance 3

**Schedule of findings and questioned costs** 6

Summary of auditors' results 6

Financial statement findings 8

Federal award findings and questioned costs 13

## County section

Schedule of expenditures of federal awards 14

Notes to schedule of expenditures of federal awards 17

## County response

Corrective action plan

Summary schedule of prior audit findings

## Reports issued separately

Comprehensive Annual Financial Report



MELANIE M. CHESNEY  
DEPUTY AUDITOR GENERAL

ARIZONA AUDITOR GENERAL  
LINDSEY A. PERRY

JOSEPH D. MOORE  
DEPUTY AUDITOR GENERAL

**Independent auditors' report on internal control over financial reporting and on compliance and other matters based on an audit of basic financial statements performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards***

Members of the Arizona State Legislature

The Board of Supervisors of  
Maricopa County, Arizona

We have audited, in accordance with U.S. generally accepted auditing standards and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, business-type activities, discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and aggregate remaining fund information of Maricopa County as of and for the year ended June 30, 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 19, 2019. Our report includes a reference to other auditors who audited the financial statements of the Stadium District, Risk Management, Employee Benefits Trust, Housing Authority, and Industrial Development Authority, as described in our report on the County's financial statements. This report includes our consideration of the results of the other auditors' testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and other matters that are reported on separately by those other auditors. However, this report, insofar as it relates to the results of the other auditors, is based solely on the reports of the other auditors.

**Internal control over financial reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the basic financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the County's basic financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies, and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we and the other auditors did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. We did identify certain deficiencies in internal control, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2019-01 and 2019-02, that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

### **Compliance and other matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's basic financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and that are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2019-03 and 2019-04.

### **County response to findings**

The County's responses to the findings identified in our audit are presented in its corrective action plan at the end of this report. The County is responsible for preparing a corrective action plan to address each finding. The County's responses and corrective action plan were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

### **Purpose of this report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the County's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Lindsey Perry, CPA, CFE  
Auditor General

December 19, 2019



MELANIE M. CHESNEY  
DEPUTY AUDITOR GENERAL

ARIZONA AUDITOR GENERAL  
LINDSEY A. PERRY

JOSEPH D. MOORE  
DEPUTY AUDITOR GENERAL

**Independent auditors' report on compliance for each major federal program;  
report on internal control over compliance; and report on schedule of  
expenditures of federal awards required by the Uniform Guidance**

Members of the Arizona State Legislature

The Board of Supervisors of  
Maricopa County, Arizona

**Report on compliance for each major federal program**

We have audited Maricopa County's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2019, except for the Section 8 Project-Based Cluster (14.195) and Housing Voucher Cluster (14.871) major federal programs administered by the Housing Authority of Maricopa County. Those major federal programs were audited by other auditors whose report has been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to those major federal programs' compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement*, is based solely on the report of the other auditors. The County's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Maricopa County's basic financial statements include the operations of the Maricopa County Accommodation Schools, which expended \$1,193,408 in federal awards that are not included in the County's schedule of expenditures of federal awards for the year ended June 30, 2019. Our audit, described below, did not include the operations of the Maricopa County Accommodation Schools because the Accommodation Schools engaged other auditors to perform an audit in accordance with Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance).

***Management's responsibility***

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

***Auditors' responsibility***

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the County's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with U.S. generally accepted auditing standards; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the

United States; and the audit requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit and the report of the other auditors provide a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the County's compliance.

### ***Opinion on each major federal program***

In our opinion, based on our audit and the report of the other auditors, the County complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2019.

### **Report on internal control over compliance**

The County's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We and the report of the other auditors did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

## **Report on schedule of expenditures of federal awards required by the Uniform Guidance**

We have audited the financial statements of the County's governmental activities, business-type activities, discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and aggregate remaining fund information as of and for the year ended June 30, 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated December 19, 2019, that contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our report also included a reference to our reliance on other auditors. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming our opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of the County's management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with U.S. generally accepted auditing standards by us and the other auditors. In our opinion, based on our audit, the procedures performed as described previously, and the report of the other auditors, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Lindsey Perry, CPA, CFE  
Auditor General

March 27, 2020



# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

## Summary of auditors' results

### Financial statements

Type of auditors' report issued on whether the financial statements audited were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles **Unmodified**

### Internal control over financial reporting

Material weaknesses identified? **No**

Significant deficiencies identified? **Yes**

Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted? **No**

### Federal awards

### Internal control over major programs

Material weakness identified? **No**

Significant deficiency identified? **None reported**

Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs **Unmodified**

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR §200.516(a)? **No**

### Identification of major programs

CFDA number	Name of federal program or cluster
14.195	Section 8 Project-Based Cluster
14.871	Housing Voucher Cluster
17.258/17.259/17.278	WIOA Cluster
20.205	Highway Planning and Construction Cluster
93.600	Head Start
93.914	HIV Emergency Relief Project Grants

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs \$3,000,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? No

**Other matters**

Auditee's summary schedule of prior audit findings required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR §200.511 (b)? Yes

## Financial statement findings

### 2019-01

#### Managing risk

**Condition and context**—The County's process for managing and documenting its risks did not include identifying, classifying, and inventorying sensitive information that might need stronger access and security controls.

**Criteria**—The process of managing risks should address the risk of unauthorized access and use, modification, or loss of sensitive information.

**Effect**—Without correcting this deficiency, the County's administration and IT management may put the County's operations and IT systems and data at unintended and unnecessary risk.

**Cause**—The County's policies and procedures did not adequately address data classification.

**Recommendations**—The County should evaluate and manage the risks of holding sensitive information by identifying, classifying, and inventorying the sensitive information it holds to assess where stronger access and security controls may be needed to protect data in accordance with State statutes and federal regulations. To help achieve this, the County should follow guidance from a credible industry source, such as the National Institute of Standards and Technology.

The County's responsible officials' views and planned corrective action are in its corrective action plan included at the end of this report.

This finding is similar to prior-year finding 2018-01.

### 2019-02

#### Information technology (IT) access controls

**Condition and context**—The County's control procedures were not sufficiently designed, documented, and implemented to respond to risks associated with its IT systems and data. The County lacked adequate procedures over restricting access to its IT systems and data to consistently help prevent or detect unauthorized or inappropriate access.

**Criteria**—The County should have effective internal controls to protect its IT systems and help ensure the integrity and accuracy of the data it maintains. Logical access controls help to ensure systems and data are accessed by users who have a need, systems and data access granted is appropriate, and key systems and data access is monitored and reviewed.

**Effect**—There is an increased risk that the County may not adequately protect its IT systems and data, which could result in unauthorized or inappropriate access and/or the loss of confidentiality or integrity of systems and data.

**Cause**—The County did not have adequate policies and procedures or did not consistently implement its policies and procedures.

**Recommendations**—To help ensure the County has effective policies and procedures over its IT systems and data, the County should follow guidance from a credible industry source such as the National Institute of Standards and Technology. To help achieve these control objectives, the County should develop, document, and implement access control procedures as described below:

- Periodically review account access ensuring appropriateness and compatibility with job responsibilities.
- Remove terminated employees' access to IT systems and data.
- Manage credentials for accounts shared by 2 or more users.
- Enforce authentication requirements for IT systems.

The County's responsible officials' views and planned corrective action are in its corrective action plan included at the end of this report.

This finding is similar to prior-year findings 2018-02.

## 2019-03

**The County's District 5 Board of Supervisors member and his assistant made \$34,349 in purchases that conflicted with County policies and lacked appropriate documentation and the County paid for them, which put public monies at risk of misuse**

**Condition and context**—During fiscal year 2019, the County's District 5 Board of Supervisors member (District 5 Supervisor) and his assistant made a total of \$34,349 of purchases using County purchasing cards that conflicted with County policies and lacked appropriate documentation, and the County paid for them.<sup>1</sup> All of these purchases lacked the required reconciliation report to the monthly bank statements and were not reviewed and approved by a designated County official as required.

We performed a detailed review of \$29,007<sup>2</sup> of the District 5 Supervisor's and his assistant's County purchasing card purchases and identified the following concerns:

- **\$14,139 in purchases for a local nonprofit organization's festival**—The District 5 Supervisor and his assistant used their County purchasing cards for \$9,915 of event rental items such as tents, tables, chairs, and lighting; \$1,350 of disc jockey services; a related purchase of \$1,864 for 24 rooms at a downtown Phoenix hotel the night before the festival; and another purchase of \$1,010 at this hotel that lacked a required receipt or other support to indicate what this cost was for. Also, none of these purchases' supporting documentation indicated how they benefitted the County. Further, as County policy required, in January 2018 and again in January 2019, the District 5 Supervisor declared a financial conflict of interest with this nonprofit organization because he has served as an officer of the organization since it was formed in October 2017.<sup>3</sup> This conflict precludes him from participating directly or indirectly

---

<sup>1</sup> The District 5 Office's \$34,349 of County purchasing card expenses represented 69 percent of the total \$49,425 purchasing card expenses made by all 5 County Board of Supervisors district offices. The District 5 Supervisor was the only County Supervisor with a purchasing card in his name during fiscal year 2019.

<sup>2</sup> We selected a sample to perform our detailed review and did not perform our detailed tests on all District 5 purchasing card purchases. Therefore, we did not include further information about all \$34,349 of those purchases.

<sup>3</sup> The County's procurement code prohibits any County employee or agent acting on behalf of the County from directly or indirectly participating in a procurement when a County employee has a financial interest in that business or organization.

in any contract, sale, or purchase related to the organization and, therefore, the District 5 Office should not have made these purchases.

- **\$6,437 in various promotional items**—The District 5 Supervisor used his purchasing card for \$6,072 in t-shirts, \$321 in flyers, and \$44 for a banner but did not retain adequate detailed invoices and required receipts to indicate the items' specific public purposes, including how they benefited the County and its citizens and were therefore allowable uses of public monies. Based on review of supporting documentation, 1 t-shirt order for \$2,000 was for a local youth baseball league tournament for which the District 5 Supervisor was a coach and volunteer, and the t-shirts stated the District 5 Supervisor sponsored the baseball tournament and included his name. Also, based on the limited supporting documentation the District 5 Supervisor provided and discussion with him, 3 t-shirt orders totaling \$3,746 were used in support of the local nonprofit organization mentioned in the first bullet. Finally, for 1 other \$325 t-shirt order and the flyers and banner orders for \$365, the supporting documentation provided did not indicate the community event they related to.
- **\$3,406 in purchases for community events**—The District 5 Supervisor and his assistant used their County purchasing cards to purchase items for certain community events, such as water days at local parks, pool parties, a family fishing day, and Independence Day fireworks. Their purchases for these events included services and products, such as \$1,200 for disc jockey services, \$984 for inflatable water slides, \$496 for water bottles, \$373 for food, \$268 for advertising, and \$85 for promotional items. The District 5 Office did not provide required itemized receipts or support for \$1,283 of these purchases. In addition, the District 5 Office did not provide documentation as to how any of the \$3,406 in purchases served a public purpose and therefore did not demonstrate these purchases were allowable uses of public monies.
- **\$2,508 of charitable donations**—The District 5 Supervisor and his assistant used their County purchasing cards to make donations totaling \$2,508 to 3 nonprofit organizations, including \$508 to the local youth baseball league mentioned in the second bullet. The District 5 Office did not document how the donations served a public purpose and therefore did not demonstrate the donations were allowable uses of public monies.
- **\$1,540 for homeless research**—The District 5 Supervisor used his purchasing card to pay the expenses for a San Diego trip, which according to the Supervisor was to reach out to 2 facilities and see how they were dealing with San Diego's homeless problem. For this visit, he charged \$1,059 for a 9-day, full-size car rental that he used before and during this trip, including \$441 of unallowed charges for a car larger than the size permitted for a single passenger, liability insurance, and late charges, and \$481 for a 2-night hotel stay that exceeded the allowed nightly lodging rates, which cost the County an additional \$120. The Supervisor did not complete the County's required travel expense form and did not provide documentation to indicate the trip was for official County business (see Finding 2019-04 for further details about the County's required travel expense form and other travel policies).
- **\$977 for catering**—The District 5 Supervisor's assistant used his purchasing card to provide \$977 of catering for an informal luncheon the Supervisor hosted to meet with Arizona legislators. However, he did not obtain required advance approval for the food purchased or provide required itemized receipts to show what was purchased.

After we brought our concerns to management's attention, on November 27, 2019, the County closed the District 5 Supervisor's purchasing card account.

**Criteria**—County purchasing card, food, travel, and conflict-of-interest policies apply to all employees and Board of Supervisors members, including their staff. These policies require adequate supporting documentation and review, verification, and approval of purchasing card expenses; approval of travel and food-related expenses prior to purchase; lodging to be at the authorized County rate and prohibit certain car rental charges; and that each purchase exclusively benefits Maricopa County. Best practices indicate that the County should designate an appropriate County official to review Board of Supervisor members' purchasing card expenses and the County official should be someone other than another Board of Supervisors member. Adherence to these policies helps avoid the misuse of public monies.

Further, regarding the District 5 Supervisor's disclosure of his financial interest in the nonprofit organization for which he made purchases as explained above in the first and second bullets, the County's procurement code, which applies to every expenditure of public monies, prohibits any County employee or agent acting on the County's behalf from directly or indirectly participating in a procurement when a County employee or agent has a financial interest in that business or organization.

**Effect**—The District 5 Supervisor's and his assistant's use of their County purchasing cards to make purchases without following County policies and the County's payment of these expenses put County monies at risk of misuse, and the County at risk of violating the Arizona Constitution, Art. IX, Sec. 7, which bans gifts or loans of public monies to individuals or organizations. Also, when elected officials do not follow established policies, including compliance with documentation requirements, they set an unfavorable tone that policies do not need to be followed. At the time of this report's release, these expenses were under further review by our Office.

**Cause**—The District 5 Supervisor and his assistant were able to use their County purchasing cards to make purchases in violation of County policies because the County did not provide adequate oversight of their purchasing card expenses. In addition, the County did not ensure its policies were followed prior to paying for their purchases. Further, the County's policies do not provide guidelines for spending on public outreach initiatives, such as community events and support of local nonprofit organizations. Finally, in June 2018, the County Procurement Office inappropriately approved the District 5 Supervisor's County purchasing card application in which he listed himself as the designated County official to review his purchasing card expenses and did not designate an appropriate reviewer to review and approve his County purchasing card expenses. Self-review and approval never provide adequate oversight.

**Recommendations**—To help ensure that all County employees, including the Board of Supervisors members and their staff, adhere to purchasing card policies, that the County appropriately spends public monies for only those expenses that are for a public purpose and benefit, and that the County does not violate the Arizona Constitution's ban on gifts or loans of public monies to individuals, the County should:

- Establish monitoring and oversight procedures to help ensure that Board of Supervisors district offices have properly implemented purchasing card policies and procedures, including designating an appropriate County official to review their purchasing card transactions.
- Develop and implement written procedures for documenting, reviewing, and approving Board of Supervisors members' and their staffs' purchasing card expenses, including defining what are allowable purchases that serve a public purpose and benefit and whether those include any types of public outreach initiatives, community events, and support of local nonprofit organizations.
- Require the District 5 Office to follow the County's purchasing card policies including ensuring purchasing card expenses are reconciled monthly, made in accordance with other County policies, such as food and travel, and adequately supported by documentation, such as itemized receipts, conference brochures, and meeting agendas, and an explanation of the public purpose and benefit to the County and its citizens.

- Conduct an in-depth analysis of all District 5 Office purchasing card expenses from current and prior years to identify unallowed costs and require the District 5 Supervisor to immediately reimburse any misspent public monies.

The County's responsible officials' views and planned corrective action are in its corrective action plan included at the end of this report.

## 2019-04

### County Supervisors' purchasing card travel expenses were not appropriately reviewed and approved before the County paid them, which put public monies at risk of misuse

**Condition and context**—Our review of a limited sample of County purchasing card travel expenses found that 2 County Board of Supervisors members used their purchasing cards for travel expenses without following a County travel policy that requires another Supervisor and the County Treasurer to review and approve their travel expenses, and the County paid for these travel costs. Specifically, the District 5 Supervisor made \$2,233 of purchasing card travel expenses that another Supervisor and the County Treasurer did not review and approve but the County paid. In addition, his travel expenses were not documented and submitted on the County travel expense form that County travel policy requires. Further, the District 1 Supervisor's assistant made \$175 of purchasing card travel expenses for the District 1 Supervisor that the County Treasurer did not review and approve but the County paid. Finally, since we reviewed a limited sample, we may not have identified all County Board of Supervisors members' travel costs; therefore, there may be additional Supervisor travel costs that another Supervisor and the Treasurer may not have reviewed and approved as policy requires but the County paid.

**Criteria**—Arizona Revised Statutes (A.R.S.) §11-215 requires county board of supervisors' members to follow county travel policy. Among other requirements, the County's travel policy requires Board of Supervisors members to obtain the signature of another board member and the County Treasurer before the final reconciliation of travel documents and the payment of any related reimbursements. As noted in the County's travel policy, its policy is consistent with A.R.S. §11-626, which requires county board of supervisors' members to have any claims against the county approved by another board member and the county treasurer. Further, County policy requires all travel expenses to be documented and submitted on a County travel expense form.

**Effect**—The 2 Supervisors' purchasing card travel expenses being paid by the County without appropriate review and approval put County monies at risk of misuse and the County at risk of violating the Arizona Constitution, Art. IX, Sec. 7, which bans gifts or loans of public monies to individuals or organizations. Further, when elected officials do not follow established policies, including compliance with approval requirements, they set an unfavorable tone that policies do not need to be followed.

**Cause**—The County paid the 2 Supervisors' purchasing card travel expenses without appropriate review and approval because the County did not provide adequate oversight of the Supervisors' travel expenses and did not have a process to ensure it did not make payments if appropriate approvals had not occurred.

**Recommendations**—To help ensure all County employees, including the Board of Supervisors members, adhere to travel policies and that County monies are not at an elevated risk of misuse, the County should:

- Require Board of Supervisors members to follow the County's policy by completing the required travel expense form and obtaining the signature of another Supervisor and the County Treasurer prior to the final reconciliation of travel documents and the payment of any related reimbursements.
- Conduct an in-depth analysis of the Supervisors' travel expenses to identify any unallowed costs and require immediate reimbursement of these public monies.

The County's responsible officials' views and planned corrective action are in its corrective action plan included at the end of this report.

## Federal award findings and questioned costs

None reported.

# COUNTY SECTION

**MARICOPA COUNTY**  
**SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS**  
**Fiscal Period 7/1/2018 - 6/30/2019**

Federal Awarding Agency/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Additional Award Identification (Optional)	Name of Funder Pass-Through Entity	Identifying Number Assigned By Funder Pass-Through Entity	Total Amount Provided to Sub-Recipients	Federal Expenditures	Federal Program Total	Cluster Name	Cluster Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE</b>									
SCHOOL BREAKFAST PROGRAM	10.553		ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION	ED09-0001		\$148,309	\$159,012	CHILD NUTRITION CLUSTER	\$410,200
SCHOOL BREAKFAST PROGRAM	10.553		ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION	ED09-0001		\$10,703	\$159,012	CHILD NUTRITION CLUSTER	\$410,200
NATIONAL SCHOOL LUNCH PROGRAM	10.555		ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION	ED09-0001		\$234,280	\$251,188	CHILD NUTRITION CLUSTER	\$410,200
NATIONAL SCHOOL LUNCH PROGRAM	10.555		ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION	ED09-0001		\$16,908	\$251,188	CHILD NUTRITION CLUSTER	\$410,200
SPECIAL SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION PROGRAM FOR WOMEN, INFANTS, AND CHILDREN	10.557		ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES	ADHS14-053007 ADHS15-084210 CTR040876		\$9,483,952	\$9,483,952	N/A	\$0
CHILD AND ADULT CARE FOOD PROGRAM	10.558		ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION	KR02-1170-ALS		\$349,597	\$349,597	N/A	\$0
STATE ADMINISTRATIVE MATCHING GRANTS FOR THE SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	10.561		ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES	ADHS16-106547	\$377,932	\$2,294,901	\$2,294,901	SNAP CLUSTER	\$2,294,901
WIC GRANTS TO STATES (WGS)	10.578		ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES	ADHS14-053007		\$28,397	\$28,397	N/A	\$0
SCHOOLS AND ROADS - GRANTS TO STATES	10.665					\$386,245	\$386,245	FOREST SERVICE SCHOOLS AND ROADS CLUSTER	\$386,245
COOPERATIVE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGREEMENT	10.U01	16-LE-11031200-005				\$105,176	\$105,176	N/A	\$0
<b>TOTAL DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE</b>						<b>\$377,932</b>	<b>\$13,058,468</b>		
<b>DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT</b>									
SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS PROGRAM	14.195					\$1,395,329	\$1,395,329	SECTION 8 PROJECT-BASED CLUSTER	\$1,395,329
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANTS/ENTITLEMENT GRANTS	14.218				\$2,286,879	\$2,887,394	\$2,887,394	CDBG - ENTITLEMENT GRANTS CLUSTER	\$2,887,394
EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANT PROGRAM	14.231				\$221,222	\$239,937	\$239,937	N/A	\$0
HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM	14.239				\$3,022,082	\$3,871,521	\$3,871,521	N/A	\$0
PUBLIC AND INDIAN HOUSING	14.850					\$303,215	\$303,215	N/A	\$0
SECTION 8 HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHERS	14.871					\$14,904,794	\$14,904,794	HOUSING VOUCHER CLUSTER	\$14,904,794
PUBLIC HOUSING CAPITAL FUND	14.872					\$399,896	\$399,896	N/A	\$0
FAMILY SELF-SUFFICIENCY PROGRAM	14.896					\$65,356	\$65,356	N/A	\$0
LEAD-BASED PAINT HAZARD CONTROL IN PRIVATELY-OWNED HOUSING	14.900		CITY OF PHOENIX	146579-0		\$79,379	\$79,379	N/A	\$0
<b>TOTAL DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT</b>						<b>\$5,530,183</b>	<b>\$24,146,821</b>		
<b>DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR</b>									
DISTRIBUTION OF RECEIPTS TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS	15.227					\$6,178	\$6,178	N/A	\$0
<b>TOTAL DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR</b>							<b>\$6,178</b>		
<b>DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE</b>									
NATIONAL CRIMINAL HISTORY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (NCHIP)	16.554		ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION	NCP 16-17-004 NCP 18-20-001 2016-VA-GX-0046 2017-VA-GX-0046		\$177,872	\$177,872	N/A	\$0
CRIME VICTIM ASSISTANCE	16.575		ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY	2018-235 VC-18-056 VC-19-056		\$1,717,008	\$1,717,008	N/A	\$0
CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION	16.576		ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION	VC-18-056 VC-19-056		\$658,620	\$658,620	N/A	\$0
STATE CRIMINAL ALIEN ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	16.606					\$859,765	\$859,765	N/A	\$0
EDWARD BYRNE MEMORIAL JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT PROGRAM	16.738				\$726,805	\$852,891	\$1,829,525	N/A	\$0
EDWARD BYRNE MEMORIAL JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT PROGRAM	16.738		ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION	DC-19-027		\$976,634	\$1,829,525	N/A	\$0
PAUL COVERDELL FORENSIC SCIENCES IMPROVEMENT GRANT PROGRAM	16.742		ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION	CV17-18-005		\$4,561	\$4,561	N/A	\$0
NATIONAL SEXUAL ASSAULT KIT INITIATIVE	16.833					\$544,103	\$544,103	N/A	\$0
EQUITABLE SHARING PROGRAM	16.922					\$78,980	\$78,980	N/A	\$0
FBI JOINT TERRORISM TASK FORCE	16.U02	415A-PX-A54566-S				\$2,777	\$2,777	N/A	\$0
FBI DESERT HAWK FUGITIVE TASK FORCE	16.U03	88A-PX-C5061747				\$44,520	\$44,520	N/A	\$0
ORGANIZED CRIME DRUG ENFORCEMENT TASK FORCE	16.U04	SWAZP0791				\$207,189	\$207,189	N/A	\$0
ORGANIZED CRIME DRUG ENFORCEMENT TASK FORCE	16.U05	SWAZP0826				\$157,153	\$157,153	N/A	\$0
DEA TASK FORCE	16.U06	UNKNOWN				\$50,874	\$50,874	N/A	\$0
<b>TOTAL DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE</b>						<b>\$726,805</b>	<b>\$6,332,947</b>		
<b>DEPARTMENT OF LABOR</b>									
WIA ADULT PROGRAM	17.258		ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC SECURITY	DI16-002124 DI19-002202		\$2,199,785	\$2,199,785	WIOA CLUSTER	\$13,106,854
WIA YOUTH ACTIVITIES	17.259		ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC SECURITY	DI16-002124 DI19-002202		\$4,161,075	\$4,161,075	WIOA CLUSTER	\$13,106,854
H-1B JOB TRAINING GRANTS	17.268		CITY OF PHOENIX	143704-0		\$86,296	\$86,296	N/A	\$0
WIA DISLOCATED WORKER FORMULA GRANTS	17.278		ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC SECURITY	DI16-002124 DI19-002202		\$6,745,994	\$6,745,994	WIOA CLUSTER	\$13,106,854
<b>TOTAL DEPARTMENT OF LABOR</b>							<b>\$13,193,150</b>		
<b>DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION</b>									
HIGHWAY PLANNING AND CONSTRUCTION	20.205		ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	AC-STP-MMA-0(249) ACI-NOR-20-03-A CM-MMA-0(235) CM-MMA-0(246) CMAQ-MMA-0(252) CMAQ-MMA-0(254) CMAQ-MMA-0(255) CMAQ-MMA19-123DZ IGA 17-0006713-I AC-STP-MMA-0(239)D		\$20,325,866	\$21,204,888	HIGHWAY PLANNING AND CONSTRUCTION CLUSTER	\$21,204,888
HIGHWAY PLANNING AND CONSTRUCTION	20.205		MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS	656	\$312,431	\$879,022	\$21,204,888	HIGHWAY PLANNING AND CONSTRUCTION CLUSTER	\$21,204,888
COMMERCIAL VEHICLE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AND NETWORKS	20.237		ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	FM-CVN-0124-16-01-00 2018-AI-006 2018-PTS-034 2019-AI-004		\$83,231	\$83,231	N/A	\$0
STATE AND COMMUNITY HIGHWAY SAFETY	20.600		ARIZONA GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF HIGHWAY SAFETY	2019-AL-017 2019-CIOT-016 2019-PTS-026 2019-405D-022		\$207,500	\$207,500	HIGHWAY SAFETY CLUSTER	\$246,627
NATIONAL PRIORITY SAFETY PROGRAMS	20.616		ARIZONA GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF HIGHWAY SAFETY	2018-405D-026 2018-405D-027		\$39,127	\$39,127	HIGHWAY SAFETY CLUSTER	\$246,627
<b>TOTAL DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION</b>						<b>\$312,431</b>	<b>\$21,534,746</b>		

INSTITUTE OF MUSEUM AND LIBRARY SERVICES OR NATIONAL  
 ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS OR NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE  
 HUMANITIES

GRANTS TO STATES	45.310	ARIZONA STATE LIBRARY, ARCHIVES AND PUBLIC RECORDS	2017-0320-05		\$1,800	\$1,800	N/A	\$0
<b>TOTAL INSTITUTE OF MUSEUM AND LIBRARY SERVICES OR NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS OR NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE HUMANITIES</b>						\$1,800		
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY</b>								
AIR POLLUTION CONTROL PROGRAM SUPPORT	66.001				\$1,238,261	\$1,238,261	N/A	\$0
SURVEYS, STUDIES, RESEARCH, INVESTIGATIONS, DEMONSTRATIONS, AND SPECIAL PURPOSE ACTIVITIES RELATING TO THE CLEAN AIR ACT	66.034				\$96,271	\$96,271	N/A	\$0
STATE CLEAN DIESEL GRANT PROGRAM	66.040				\$116,391	\$116,391	N/A	\$0
<b>TOTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY</b>						\$1,450,923		
<b>DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY</b>								
WEATHERIZATION ASSISTANCE FOR LOW-INCOME PERSONS	81.042	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION OFFICE OF GRANTS AND FEDERAL RESO	206-17 222-18		\$314,249	\$314,249	N/A	\$0
<b>TOTAL DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY</b>						\$314,249		
<b>DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION</b>								
ADULT EDUCATION - BASIC GRANTS TO STATES	84.002	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION	18FAEABE-81237-01A 18FAEAPL-813237-01A 19FAEABE-91237-01A 19FAEAPL-913237-01A 19FAEIEL-91237-01A 19FAEJET-913237-01A		\$342,736	\$342,736	N/A	\$0
SPECIAL EDUCATION_ GRANTS TO STATES	84.027	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION	18FESCBG-813229-09A		\$43,278	\$43,278	SPECIAL EDUCATION CLUSTER (IDEA)	\$43,278
ENGLISH LANGUAGE ACQUISITION STATE GRANTS	84.365	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION	18FELENG-613245-66A	\$67,313	\$67,313	\$67,313	N/A	\$0
TEACHER INCENTIVE FUND	84.374			\$2,734,479	\$13,374,739	\$13,374,739	N/A	\$0
PRESCHOOL DEVELOPMENT GRANTS	84.419	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION	18FPRSDG-810687-40C 19FPRSDG-910687-40C		\$220,164	\$338,884	N/A	\$0
PRESCHOOL DEVELOPMENT GRANTS	84.419	FIRST THINGS FIRST	GRA-STATE-19-0970-01		\$118,720	\$338,884	N/A	\$0
<b>TOTAL DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION</b>					\$2,801,792	\$14,166,950		
<b>DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES</b>								
SPECIAL PROGRAMS FOR THE AGING_TITLE III, PART B_ GRANTS FOR SUPPORTIVE SERVICES AND SENIOR CENTERS	93.044	AREA AGENCY ON AGING	2019-30-MCH		\$522,919	\$522,919	AGING CLUSTER	\$522,919
PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	93.069	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES	ADHS17-133194		\$2,869,871	\$2,869,871	N/A	\$0
ENVIRONMENTAL PUBLIC HEALTH AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE BIRTH DEFECTS AND DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES - PREVENTION AND SURVEILLANCE	93.070	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES	ADHS18-184151		\$218,101	\$218,101	N/A	\$0
FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION_RESEARCH	93.103	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES	ADHS17-147348		\$90,209	\$90,209	N/A	\$0
PROJECT GRANTS AND COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS FOR TUBERCULOSIS CONTROL PROGRAMS	93.116	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES	ADHS18-188102		\$441,319	\$441,319	N/A	\$0
INJURY PREVENTION AND CONTROL RESEARCH AND STATE AND COMMUNITY BASED PROGRAMS	93.136	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES	ADHS16-109742		\$137,758	\$137,758	N/A	\$0
CONSOLIDATED HEALTH CENTERS (COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTERS, MIGRANT HEALTH CENTERS, HEALTH CARE FOR THE HOMELESS, AND PUBLIC HOUSING PRIMARY CARE)	93.224				\$437,316	\$437,316	HEALTH CENTER PROGRAM CLUSTER	\$437,316
SUBSTANCE ABUSE AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES_PROJECTS OF REGIONAL AND NATIONAL SIGNIFICANCE	93.243			\$104,025	\$137,112	\$137,112	N/A	\$0
IMMUNIZATION COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS	93.268	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES	ADHS18-177694		\$127,630	\$127,630	N/A	\$0
TEENAGE PREGNANCY PREVENTION PROGRAM	93.297			\$95,000	\$686,824	\$686,824	N/A	\$0
EPIDEMIOLOGY AND LABORATORY CAPACITY FOR INFECTIOUS DISEASES (ELC)	93.323	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES	ADHS16-130023 ADHS17-163348		\$100,674	\$100,674	N/A	\$0
PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY RESPONSE: COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT FOR EMERGENCY RESPONSE: PUBLIC HEALTH CRISIS RESPONSE	93.354	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES	ADHS17-133194		\$356,258	\$356,258	N/A	\$0
NON-ACA/PPHF-BUILDING CAPACITY OF THE PUBLIC HEALTH SYSTEM TO IMPROVE POPULATION HEALTH THROUGH NATIONAL NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS	93.424	NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF COUNTY AND CITY HEALTH OFFICIALS	2018-010401		\$7,600	\$7,600	N/A	\$0
AFFORDABLE CARE ACT (ACA) MATERNAL, INFANT, AND EARLY CHILDHOOD HOME VISITING PROGRAM	93.505	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES	ADHS17-155910	\$149,568	\$459,518	\$459,518	N/A	\$0
PPHF CAPACITY BUILDING ASSISTANCE TO STRENGTHEN PUBLIC HEALTH IMMUNIZATION INFRASTRUCTURE AND PERFORMANCE FINANCED IN PART BY PREVENTION AND PUBLIC HEALTH FUNDS	93.539	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES	ADHS18-177694		\$1,402,160	\$1,402,160	N/A	\$0
TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE FOR NEEDY FAMILIES	93.558	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC SECURITY	ADES15-089115		\$549,913	\$549,913	TANF CLUSTER	\$549,913
CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT	93.563	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC SECURITY	G1604AZ4004 G1804AZ4004		\$2,274,176	\$2,274,176	N/A	\$0
LOW-INCOME HOME ENERGY ASSISTANCE	93.568	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING	206-17 215-19 222-18		\$1,076,274	\$5,203,094	N/A	\$0
LOW-INCOME HOME ENERGY ASSISTANCE	93.568	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC SECURITY	ADES15-089115		\$4,126,820	\$5,203,094	N/A	\$0
COMMUNITY SERVICES BLOCK GRANT	93.569	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC SECURITY	ADES 15-089115		\$1,034,506	\$1,034,506	N/A	\$0
REFUGEE AND ENTRANT ASSISTANCE_DISCRETIONARY GRANTS	93.576	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC SECURITY	DE101038-001 DI18-002141		\$222,415	\$222,415	N/A	\$0
GRANTS TO STATES FOR ACCESS AND VISITATION PROGRAMS	93.597	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC SECURITY	DI16-002145		\$20,209	\$20,209	N/A	\$0
HEAD START	93.600				\$18,403,129	\$18,403,129	N/A	\$0
SOCIAL SERVICES BLOCK GRANT	93.667	AREA AGENCY ON AGING	2019-30-MCH		\$285,229	\$639,195	N/A	\$0
SOCIAL SERVICES BLOCK GRANT	93.667	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC SECURITY	ADES15-089115		\$353,966	\$639,195	N/A	\$0
CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT DISCRETIONARY ACTIVITIES PREVENTIVE HEALTH AND HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT FUNDED SOLELY WITH PREVENTION AND PUBLIC HEALTH FUNDS (PPHF)	93.670	NATIONAL QUALITY IMPROVEMENT CENTER FOR COLLABORATIVE COMMUNITY COURT	90CA1854		\$26,250	\$26,250	N/A	\$0
CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT DISCRETIONARY ACTIVITIES PREVENTIVE HEALTH AND HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT FUNDED SOLELY WITH PREVENTION AND PUBLIC HEALTH FUNDS (PPHF)	93.758	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES	ADHS16-102232		\$167,924	\$167,924	N/A	\$0
OPIOID STR	93.788	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES	ADHS14-074958		\$34,755	\$41,798	N/A	\$0
OPIOID STR	93.788	GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF YOUTH, FAITH, AND FAMILY	IGA-STR-19-100518-01		\$7,043	\$41,798	N/A	\$0
MATERNAL, INFANT AND EARLY CHILDHOOD HOME VISITING GRANT	93.870	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES	ADHS17-155910	\$119,431	\$1,041,090	\$1,041,090	N/A	\$0
CANCER PREVENTION AND CONTROL PROGRAMS FOR STATE, TERRITORIAL AND TRIBAL ORGANIZATIONS	93.898	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES	ADHS17-147348		\$60,434	\$60,434	N/A	\$0
HIV EMERGENCY RELIEF PROJECT GRANTS	93.914			\$6,153,421	\$8,865,350	\$8,865,350	N/A	\$0
HIV CARE FORMULA GRANTS	93.917	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES	ADHS14-059037 ADHS17-155496 ADHS18-172583	\$1,031,055	\$1,525,744	\$1,525,744	N/A	\$0
HEALTHY START INITIATIVE	93.926			\$126,165	\$1,780,653	\$1,780,653	N/A	\$0
HIV PREVENTION ACTIVITIES_HEALTH DEPARTMENT BASED	93.940	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES	ADHS18-188819		\$653,473	\$653,473	N/A	\$0

HUMAN IMMUNODEFICIENCY VIRUS (HIV)/ACQUIRED IMMUNODEFICIENCY VIRUS SYNDROME (AIDS) SURVEILLANCE	93.944	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES	ADHS17-141746	\$14,852	\$14,852	N/A	\$0
BLOCK GRANTS FOR PREVENTION AND TREATMENT OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE	93.959	GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF YOUTH, FAITH, AND FAMILY	IGA-SABG-GR-16-040116-08	\$53,718	\$53,718	\$53,718	N/A
PREVENTIVE HEALTH SERVICES_SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED DISEASES CONTROL GRANTS	93.977	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES	ADHS14-071224		\$527,910	\$527,910	N/A
PREVENTIVE HEALTH AND HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT	93.991	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES	ADHS16-116340		\$83,961	\$83,961	N/A
MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT TO THE STATES	93.994	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES	ADHS16-102232 ADHS14-074958 ADHS16-102232 ADHS17-147348 ADHS18-175153		\$671,662	\$671,662	N/A
<b>TOTAL DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES</b>				<b>\$7,832,383</b>	<b>\$51,926,823</b>		
<b>CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE</b>							
AMERICORPS	94.006	ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS, ARIZONA SUPREME COURT	NONE	\$48,359	\$240,206	N/A	\$0
AMERICORPS	94.006	ARIZONA GOVERNOR'S OFFICE FOR CHILDREN, YOUTH AND FAMILIES	AC-VSG-15-090115-07Y3 AC-VSG-18-0900118-10	\$191,847	\$240,206	N/A	\$0
<b>TOTAL CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE</b>					<b>\$240,206</b>		
<b>EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT</b>							
HIGH INTENSITY DRUG TRAFFICKING AREAS PROGRAM	95.001			\$545,738	\$545,738	N/A	\$0
<b>TOTAL EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT</b>					<b>\$545,738</b>		
<b>DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY</b>							
EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE GRANTS	97.042	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY	EMF-2017-EP-00008-S-01 EMF-2018-EP-00012-S-01 160205-01 160812-06 170203-03 170204-01 170204-02 170811-01 170811-02 180205-03 180206-01 180206-02	\$751,204	\$751,204	N/A	\$0
HOMELAND SECURITY GRANT PROGRAM	97.067	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY		\$780,512	\$780,512	N/A	\$0
HOMELAND SECURITY BIOWATCH PROGRAM	97.091			\$619,694	\$619,694	N/A	\$0
<b>TOTAL DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY</b>					<b>\$2,151,410</b>		
<b>TOTAL EXPENDITURE OF FEDERAL AWARDS</b>				<b>\$17,581,526</b>	<b>\$149,070,409</b>		

**Please Note:**

*Italicized award lines indicate pass-through funding*

The accompanying Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards are an integral part of the schedule.

**MARICOPA COUNTY**  
**NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS**  
**Fiscal Period 7/1/2018 - 6/30/2019**

**Significant Accounting Policies Used in Preparing the SEFA**

Expenditures reported on the schedule are reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting, except for the following programs: Schools and Roads Grants to States (10.665) and Distribution of Receipts to State and Local Governments (15.227). For these programs, revenues received during the fiscal year are considered earned and are reported as expenditures. All remaining expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the financial statements.

**10% De Minimis Cost Rate**

The auditee did not elect to use the 10 percent de minimis indirect cost rate as covered in 2 CFR §200.414 except for the Emergency Management Department.

**Basis of presentation**

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (schedule) includes Maricopa County's federal grant activity for the year ended June 30, 2019. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance).

**Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) number**

The program titles and CFDA numbers were obtained from the federal or pass-through grantor or the 2019 Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance. When no CFDA number had been assigned to a program, the two-digit federal agency identifier and the federal contract number were used. When there was no federal contract number, the two-digit federal agency identifier and the word unknown were used.

# COUNTY RESPONSE



# Maricopa County

Department of Finance

John R. Lewis, CPA, MBA  
Chief Financial Officer  
301 West Jefferson Street  
Suite 960  
Phx, AZ 85003-2148  
Phone: 602-506-3561  
Fax: 602-506-4451  
[www.maricopa.gov/](http://www.maricopa.gov/)

March 27, 2020

Lindsey Perry  
Auditor General  
2910 North 44<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 410  
Phoenix, AZ 85018

Dear Ms. Perry:

We have prepared the accompanying corrective action plan as required by the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* and by the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards*. Specifically, for each finding we are providing you with our responsible officials' views, the names of the contact people responsible for corrective action, the corrective action planned, and the anticipated completion date.

Sincerely,

John R. Lewis  
Chief Financial Officer

**Maricopa County  
Corrective Action Plan  
Year Ended June 30, 2019**

**Financial statement Findings**

2019-01 The County should improve security over its information technology resources and improve its risk-assessment process

Contact person(s): Kevin Westover

Anticipated completion date: December 15, 2020

Concur. Maricopa County takes all IT audit findings seriously and will make efforts to resolve any deficiencies. The County will take actions to improve processes for managing and documenting risks.

2019-02 The County should improve access controls over its information technology resources

Contact person(s): Kevin Westover

Anticipated completion date: June 30, 2020

Concur. Maricopa County takes all IT audit findings seriously and will make efforts to resolve any deficiencies. The County will improve access controls over its IT resources.

2019-03 The County's District 5 Board of Supervisors member and his assistant made purchases that conflicted with County policies and lacked appropriate documentation and the County paid for them, which put public monies at risk of misuse.

Contact person(s): John Lewis, Chief Financial Officer

Anticipated completion date: December 31, 2020

Concur. The Supervisor has expressed that many of the expenditures were for community engagement and outreach, which is directly aligned with the County's strategic goals of Safe Communities, Regional Services, and Growth and Economic Development. In addition, community engagement and outreach programs not only strengthen the community, but also promote County services along with other services provided by local governments and non-profits. The County is dedicated to ensuring that internal controls over purchasing card expenses are strengthened. The County takes all findings seriously and has already taken steps to ensure corrections are made. Some of the transactions in question were purchased by a former employee and the Supervisor's card was deactivated in November 2019. In addition, training was provided to staff in February 2020 to emphasize the importance of segregation of duties and maintaining proper supporting documentation. The County will ensure that all purchase card activity complies with County policies and procedures and that proper documentation is maintained. The Department of Finance has been working with District 5 to ensure that proper documentation, reconciliations, etc., are maintained monthly.

The Department of Finance will review the current policies and procedures to address the recommendations provided, as applicable, which may include changes to the current policies.

2019-04 County Supervisors' purchasing card travel expense were not appropriately reviewed and approved before the County paid them, which put public monies at risk of misuse.

Contact person(s): John Lewis, Chief Financial Officer

Anticipated completion date: December 31, 2020

Concur. The County is dedicated to ensuring that internal controls over travel expenses are strengthened. The County takes all findings seriously and has already taken steps to ensure corrections are made. The County will continue to emphasize the importance of segregation of duties and maintaining proper supporting documentation. The County will ensure that all travel complies with County policies and procedures and that proper documentation is maintained. The Department of Finance has been working with District 5 to ensure that proper documentation, reconciliations, etc., are maintained.

The Department of Finance will review the current policies and procedures to address the recommendations provided, as applicable, which may include changes to the current policies.



# Maricopa Count

Department of Finance

John R. Lewis, CPA, MBA  
Chief Financial Officer  
301 West Jefferson Street  
Suite 960  
Phx, AZ 85003-2148  
Phone: 602-506-3561  
Fax: 602-506-4451  
[www.maricopa.gov/](http://www.maricopa.gov/)

March 27, 2020

Lindsey Perry  
Auditor General  
2910 North 44<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 410  
Phoenix, AZ 85018

Dear Ms. Perry:

We have prepared the accompanying summary schedule of prior audit findings as required by the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards*. Specifically, we are reporting the status of audit findings included in the prior audit's schedule of findings and questioned costs. This schedule also includes the status of audit findings reported in the prior audit's summary schedule of prior audit findings that were not corrected.

Sincerely,

John R. Lewis  
Chief Financial Officer

**Maricopa County**  
**Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings**  
**Year Ended June 30, 2019**

**Status of financial statement findings**

The County should improve access controls over its information technology resources  
Finding No. 2015-02, 2016-02, 2017-02  
Status: Partially corrected

Maricopa County takes all IT audit findings seriously and will make efforts to resolve any deficiencies. The County made and will continue to make improvements with written policies and procedures. The County will also implement new or enforce existing policy involving logical and physical access controls. Contact persons are Kevin Westover, Business Engagement Manager, Office of Enterprise Technology (602) 506-1667 and Deborah Lukens, Information Technology Director, Maricopa County Treasurer, (602) 372-4472.

The County should improve security over its information technology resources and improve its risk assessment process  
Finding No. 2017-01  
Status: Partially corrected

Maricopa County takes all IT audit findings seriously and will make efforts to resolve any deficiencies. The County will continue to make improvements in the risk assessment process. Additionally, the County will develop policy where lacking and enforce policy where necessary. Contact persons are Kevin Westover, Business Engagement Manager, Office of Enterprise Technology (602) 506-1667 and Deborah Lukens, Information Technology Director, Maricopa County Treasurer, (602) 372-4472.

The County should improve its configuration management processes over its information technology resources  
Finding No. 2017-03  
Status: Fully corrected

The County should improve its contingency planning procedures for its information technology resources  
Finding No. 2017-04  
Status: Fully corrected

Managing risk  
Finding No. 2018-01  
Status: Partially corrected

Maricopa County takes all IT audit findings seriously and will make efforts to resolve any deficiencies. The County will continue to make improvements in the risk assessment

process. Additionally, the County will develop policy where lacking and enforce policy where necessary. Contact persons are Kevin Westover, Business Engagement Manager, Office of Enterprise Technology (602) 506-1667 and Deborah Lukens, Information Technology Director, Maricopa County Treasurer, (602) 372-4472.

Information technology (IT) controls – access, configuration and change management, security, and contingency planning  
Finding No. 2018-02  
Status: Partially corrected

Maricopa County takes all IT audit findings seriously and will make efforts to resolve any deficiencies. The County made and will continue to make improvements by implementing new policy or enforcing existing policy where necessary. Contact persons are Kevin Westover, Business Engagement Manager, Office of Enterprise Technology (602) 506-1667 and Deborah Lukens, Information Technology Director, Maricopa County Treasurer, (602) 372-4472.

County Treasurer should reconcile its accounting records, resolve uncorrected errors, and properly manage accounts  
Finding No. 2018-03  
Status: Fully corrected

The County should ensure capital assets are accurately recorded  
Finding No. 2018-04  
Status: Fully corrected

### **Status of federal award findings and questioned costs**

**CFDA No.: 93.563** Child Support Enforcement  
**Finding No.** 2015-108, 2016-109, 2017-106, 2018-102  
**Status:** Fully corrected.

**CFDA No.: 93.600** Head Start  
**Finding No.** 2016-111, 2017-107, 2018-101  
**Status:** Partially corrected.

The Maricopa County Human Services Department updated its procedures and policies related to in-kind match documentation. This included a secondary review by program staff not responsible for in-kind forms. The Department also began transition to a new electronic tracking software for in-kind contributions in early August 2018. This software resolves the following issues: calculates in-kind contributions by converting minutes to hours, stores in-kind time within in the software rather than using paper forms (to avoid incorrect entries when converting units or when transcribing paper forms submitted), and only approved activities are calculated by the software. Key staff at all Head Start sites

were trained on how to use this software. Implementation challenges delayed full completion until August 2019. Contact person is Jaqueline Edwards, Deputy Director, (602) 506-4812.

**CFDA No.: 14.871** Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers

**Finding No.** 2018-103

**Status:** Fully corrected.

