# Fredonia-Moccasin Unified School District

Coconino County


Efficiency peer groups 6 and T-9, Achievement peer group 5 Legislative district(s): 5 and 7

District size. location: Students attending: Number of schools: Small, Rural 241 2

#### **OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY**

### Spending by operational area

#### Nonclassroom dollars



# 5-year spending trend

Total spending per pupil increased by 7 percent. Spending in the classroom varied year to year, decreasing overall from 59.9 to 52.9 percent. Overall, spending on administration and plant operations increased substantially and spending on transportation increased slightly. Spending on food service decreased slightly, while other nonclassroom areas varied year to year.

## Cost measures relative to peer averages

Operational area	Measure		District	Peer average	State average
	Cost per p	upil	\$1,954	\$1,571	\$746
Administration	Students per administrator		47	43	67
Plant	Cost per so	quare foot	\$3.44	\$4.94	\$6.03
operations	Square footage per student		425	318	153
Food service	Cost per meal equivalent		\$2.81	\$3.28	\$2.58
Transportation	Cost per mile		\$2.49	\$2.42	\$3.55
Transportation	Cost per rider		\$1,449	\$1,013	\$1,015
Very low	Low	Comparab	le Hig	gh V	ery high

#### Per pupil spending by operational area

			Peer	State	National
	District		average	average	average
	2012	2013	2013	2013	2011
Total	\$10,779	\$10,387	\$10,188	\$7,496	\$10,658
Classroom dollars	5,429	5,496	4,979	4,031	6,520
Nonclassroom dollars:	5,350	4,891	5,209	3,465	4,138
Administration	1,866	1,954	1,571	746	1,138
Plant operations	1,499	1,461	1,558	924	1,015
Food service	503	510	463	396	412
Transportation	491	433	509	369	452
Student support	499	275	647	582	593
Instruction support	492	258	461	448	528

## STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT, TEACHER **MEASURES, AND FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT**


## ADE-reported district and school letter grades

District grade:



of	Percentage of schools	
0	0%	
0	0%	
1		50%
1		50%
0	0%	
0	0%	
	0 0 1	of Percentage of schools  0 0%  0 0%  1 1  0 0%

### Students who met state standards (AIMS)



#### Student and teacher measures

		Peer	State
Measure	District	average	average
Attendance rate	93%	94%	94%
Graduation rate (2012)	95%	83%	77%
Poverty rate (2012)	20%	24%	25%
Students per teacher	14.0	16.6	18.3
Average teacher salary	\$43,713	\$41,866	\$45,264
Amount from Proposition 301	\$2,623	\$4,192	\$3,784
Average years of teacher experience	10.3	11.7	10.9
Percentage of teachers in first 3 years	19%	16%	19%

#### Financial stress assessment

Overall financial stre	ess level: Low	
Measure: 2011 through	Assessment	
Number of students atter	Small school adjustment	
Spending exceeded open	No overspending	
Spending increase election	No election held	
Operating reserve percer	3.2%, Varying	
Years of capital reserve h	Impact Aid Fund reserve	
Current financial and inte	Compliant	
Stress level		
Low	Moderate	High