# **Apache Elementary School District**

Cochise County

Classroom dollars

Efficiency peer groups 11 and T-11, Achievement peer group 18 Legislative district(s): 14

District size, location: Students attending: Number of schools:


Very small, Rural

7 1

### **OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY**

### Spending by operational area

Instruction 49.4%



-Instruction

support 0.1%

Student support 6.9%

## 5-year spending trend

Total spending per pupil increased by 33 percent. Spending in the classroom decreased from 58.5 to 49.4 percent. Spending on most nonclassroom areas varied year to year, as is common for very small districts. Overall, spending on administration, plant operations, and transportation increased substantially.

## Cost measures relative to peer averages

Operational area	Measure	District	Peer average	State average
Administration	Cost per pupil	\$3,255	\$2,572	\$746
	Students per administrator	5	30	67
Plant operations	Cost per square foot	\$17.42	\$6.59	\$6.03
	Square footage per student	243	343	153
Food service	Cost per meal equivalent	N/A	\$4.93	\$2.58
Transportation	Cost per mile	\$1.68	\$1.64	\$3.55
Transportation	Cost per rider	\$3,817	\$1,184	\$1,015

### Per pupil spending by operational area

			Peer	State	National
	Dis	trict	average	average	average
	2012	2013	2013	2013	2011
Total	\$18,236	\$27,043	\$15,203	\$7,496	\$10,658
Classroom dollars	9,426	13,346	7,647	4,031	6,520
Nonclassroom dollars:	8,810	13,697	7,556	3,465	4,138
Administration	1,685	3,255	2,572	746	1,138
Plant operations	2,778	4,231	2,148	924	1,015
Food service	0	0	851	396	412
Transportation	2,964	4,312	1,056	369	452
Student support	1,333	1,862	548	582	593
Instruction support	50	37	381	448	528
Instruction support	50	37	381	448	528

# STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT, TEACHER MEASURES, AND FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT

### ADE-reported district and school letter grades

District grade:

Grade	Number of schools	Percentage of schools	District grade:	A
Α	1			100%
В	0	0%		
С	0	0%		
D	0	0%		
F	0	0%		
Not rated	0	0%		

## Students who met state standards (AIMS)

AIMS scores are not shown because the District had ten or fewer students.

#### Student and teacher measures

Measure	District	Peer average	State average
Attendance rate	98%	95%	94%
Graduation rate (2012)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Poverty rate (2012)	26%	24%	25%
Students per teacher	7.1	12.4	18.3
Average teacher salary	N/A	\$45,242	\$45,264
Amount from Proposition 301	N/A	\$2,827	\$3,784
Average years of teacher experience	N/A	13.6	10.9
Percentage of teachers in first 3 years	N/A	12%	19%

#### Financial stress assessment

Measure: 2011 through 2013	Assessment	
Number of students attending district	Small school adjustment	
Spending exceeded operating/capital budgets	No overspending	
Spending increase election results	No election held	
Operating reserve percentage (max. 4%), trend	4.0%, Steady	
Years of capital reserve held	More than 3 years	
Current financial and internal control status	Not assessed	

Low Moderate High